# **An Introduction to the Legal System of the** People's Republic of China

Albert Hung-yee Chen
LLB (Hong Kong), PCLL (Hong Kong), LLM (Harvard) Solicitor Cheng Chan Lan Yue Professor in Constitutional Law Department of Law University of Hong Kong

#### LexisNexis

Hong Kong • Singapore • Malaysia

### **Foreword to the Fourth Edition**

This important book becomes more impressive with each edition. Not only newcomers to China's legal system but also specialists in the field can benefit from the careful reading this text deserves. Although seven years since the third edition seems like a short time, especially in the span of China's long history, looking back with the aid of Professor Albert Chen's new work enables us to see the surprising number of developments that have occurred in Chinese law and practice.

To be sure, not all of these "developments" have been positive from the perspective of those who wish to see China establish a conventional system of government under law. Professor Chen's third edition was completed at a time of relative optimism that significant law reforms were about to occur. My 2004 Foreword, which I have asked to be retained in this volume, emphasized the Chinese legal system's "failure to make more significant progress in protecting the basic rights of individuals, especially in the area of criminal procedure", but it also noted many accomplishments and pointed out that "ferment over law reform is definitely stirring in official as well as academic circles in China."

Unfortunately, as Professor Chen's fourth edition makes clear, these hopes, by and large, have not been vindicated, particularly since the 17th Communist Party Congress in the fall of 2007 articulated a new and more radical Party line concerning law. Efforts to create an enforceable system of constitutional law, either via the authorized interpretations of the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress or the innovative decisions of Chinese courts, have come to naught. The widely-anticipated termination of "re-education through labor", which has long allowed police to incarcerate people as they wish for several years without obtaining approval of any other agency including the procuracy or the courts, has never been adopted by the National People's Congress (NPC).

Although some new and progressive legal norms have nevertheless been promulgated since 2004, their implementation has often been stifled amid an increasingly repressive political climate. The 2007 Lawyers Law, for example, has proved a disappointment in providing defense counsel easy access to detained suspects. The 2010 rules that were designed to reduce incidents of police torture by facilitating the exclusion of illegally-obtained evidence from criminal trials have thus far been difficult to put into practice. Even the Supreme People's Court (SPC), which has continued to issue generally helpful legal interpretations of a legislative type, has failed to apply the new rules when deciding concrete cases.

Professor Chen does not hesitate to call attention to the implications for the formal legal system and its judges, prosecutors, lawyers and officials of the post-2007 return to the political and populist "mass line". It has its origins in the rural "liberated areas" that were controlled by the Communist Party before it seized nationwide power in 1949. This line may have been suitable for the primitive social and economic conditions encountered by the Party in that era, but its de-emphasis of the legal system and judicial adjudication and its promotion of informal mediation and "harmony" are unlikely to meet the needs of the great economic power and vast, complex society now ruled by the Party.

Yet, in a broad and balanced analysis that includes the relationship between the political and legal systems, Professor Chen finds some grounds for optimism. Although he recognizes that "in practical operation the State Council is in fact more powerful than both the National People's Congress and its Standing Committee", he sees a gradual expansion of the roles of the national and local people's congresses. He also is encouraged by the increasing authority of legal norms, whether generated by legislation or courts, in relation to policy documents issued by the government or the Party. In addition, he notes potentially significant judicial reforms, such as greater transparency in court proceedings and judgments, establishment of a system of "guiding cases" to enhance judicial sophistication and consistency, and recent attempts to revise the structure, functions and operations of court adjudication committees in the hope of fostering the independence of the judges who actually hear cases.

The book is also full of useful details that can be easily found as needed. It offers pithy answers to many questions, such as the differences between the special committees of the NPC and the work committees of its Standing Committee, or the distinctiveness of the SPC in comparison with the supreme courts of other countries.

Yet this is no boring recitation of statutes and rules but a highly readable introduction to the legal system of a nation that cannot be ignored. I commend it with greater enthusiasm than ever!

Professor Jerome Alan Cohen 28 February 2011 New York University School of Law

#### **Foreword to the Third Edition**

It is difficult for me to believe that the Foreword I contributed to the first edition of this valuable book was written shortly after the now famous 'Southern Tour' of Deng Xiaoping. That 1992 hegira, little noticed at first, ignited the second explosive wave of China's formidable economic development and international business cooperation.

At that time, I noted two related phenomena: (1) that, in order to speed the nation's modernization, the People's Republic of China had embarked upon an unprecedented degree of cooperation with both the developed and developing countries that is integrating the PRC ever more closely into global, regional and bilateral organisations and arrangements and endowing it with a prominence not previously enjoyed; and (2) that virtually every aspect of China's expanding international relationships involves its domestic legal system and therefore requires foreign diplomats, government officials, politicians, business people, lawyers, scholars, journalists, students and others to become more knowledgeable about contemporary China's distinctive laws and legal institutions.

The extraordinary intensity of China's development, including its legal progress, during the past dozen years makes my earlier observations appear to be a truism. Yet in 1992 it was far from certain that China, still wallowing in the wake of the 1989 Tiananmen slaughter and the repression that followed, would so successfully rekindle the enthusiasm of foreign investors and resume construction of the infrastructure of laws, institutions and dispute resolution mechanisms essential to maintain that enthusiasm. Professor Albert Chen's learned, yet readable, introduction to the Chinese legal system makes clear how much was accomplished during the 1990s. It is also well-timed to record the further progress of the past few years when the PRC's recent entry into the Word Trade Organisation stimulated a third wave of economic development and international business cooperation and the even more welcoming legal environment required to sustain it.

This third edition contains an enormous amount of new material. It leaves no doubt that the PRC is now well along in the process of creating a serious legal system, the most serious that China has witnessed since the collapse of the last of the imperial dynasties almost one hundred years ago. This process, which only began in December 1978 following the chaos of the Cultural Revolution, has been fitful and uneven, to be sure. Yet today, after a quarter of a century, we can attest not only to its accomplishments but also to its continuing, indeed accelerating, momentum.

In 1978 the PRC lacked virtually all of the indicia of a formal legal system. Legislation was sparse, and China's relatively few bilateral and multilateral international agreements did little to provide authoritative norms to guide political, economic and social activity at home. Over twenty years of abuse and disuse had left legal institutions a shambles. Judges, prosecutors, lawyers and other legal experts, after a long nightmare of political persecution and enforced unemployment, were scarce, intimidated and reluctant to return to any aspects of a profession that had proved dangerous. In early 1979, one

reluctant lawyer, who in 1958 had been declared a 'rightist' and suffered a long period of 'reeducation through labor,' told me: 'Once you've been bitten by a snake, you're even afraid of a piece of rope.' Moreover, those who were willing to return to their profession were ill-equipped to meet the urgent legal needs of a more sophisticated era, and the legal scholarship and legal education required to raise professional standards and train a new generation of specialists were themselves only beginning to revive and develop.

Yet, by late 1978, the newly-ascendant Deng Xiaoping, who had presided over the harshly tragic 1957-58 campaign to suppress 'rightists,' including many advocates of the rule of law, had decided to establish a credible legal system. Deng and his post-Cultural Revolution cohort had five related reasons for doing so. Law, they concluded, was plainly required for rebuilding and enhancing the shattered structure and organisation of the state. It was also critical to the achievement of domestic economic development and to the attraction of the foreign technology and capital essential to promote the domestic economy. Finally, these newly-minted law reformers saw the importance of criminal law and procedure as the principal instrument for both efficiently repressing the lawlessness that had overtaken the country and, at the same time, protecting the basic rights of individuals.

Professor Chen's new work helps us to gauge the progress that has been made since 1978. The current PRC regime yields to no other in world history in the zeal with which it has addressed its monumental legislative and organisational tasks. Of course, it is not difficult to point out flaws in execution, but both drafting skills and administrative and legislative processes have improved significantly. Furthermore, the PRC has learned to make excellent use of bilateral and multilateral international agreements to stimulate and supplement its domestic law-making, especially in economic matters. Surely its recent efforts to promote domestic growth not only by providing relevant norms but also by strengthening administrative, judicial and arbitral institutions to implement those norms make contemporary China perhaps the leading example of the self-conscious uses of law to serve economic development. And, although foreign investors can always offer some spectacular instances of PRC legal fiascos as well as useful suggestions for improving the system, it is widely recognized that, despite its imperfections and distinctiveness, China's legal environment for foreign technology transfer and direct investment is impressive. Indeed, it has been one of the key factors in the PRC's success in attracting, year after year, more foreign direct investment than virtually all other developing countries combined, and this is why so many other developing countries sought to imitate it.

Criminal justice has been the weakest link in the PRC's legal construction. The regime has devoted considerable effort to rationalizing its substantive criminal law, and it has generally been quite effective in its resort to criminal sanctions as an instrument for suppressing perceived antisocial behavior, including, unfortunately, political, labor and religious activities that are freely permitted by more democratic governments. Although mafia-type organisations flourish beneath the surface and official corruption is endemic, reform occasionally occurs. For example, recent public outcry against police abuses in administering the 'non-criminal' sanction of 'custody and repatriation' led to its sudden termination. Further reform of the criminal law would be welcome, including elimination of the notorious administrative punishment of 'reeducation through labor' and reduction in the very large number of crimes for which the death penalty may be imposed.

The greatest disappointment of the evolving PRC legal system to date is its failure to make more significant progress in protecting the basic rights of individuals, especially in the area of criminal procedure. The Administrative Litigation Law, the State Compensation Law and related legislation – limited as they are – have begun to offer relief to some of the victims of arbitrary administrative conduct, and the PRC is currently under pressure to do more, at least in trade and investment matters, as a result of its newly-acquired obligations enhance WTO to transparency, decision-making and provide independent review of challenged administrative actions. Yet, despite continuing condemnation from international public and private organisations and Western governments and media, as well as a gradually developing domestic human rights constituency, the administration of criminal justice is all too frequently a disgrace to a government and people who in many other respects have earned the world's praise.

The 1996 revisions to the original 1979 Criminal Procedure Law appeared to promise significant improvements in the criminal process, but practice has demonstrated the many problems that the new law fails to provide for, the vagueness of its terminology and the many exceptions that undermine its commendable principles. The Ministry of State Security and the Ministry of Public Security continue to dominate the criminal justice system. No legal mechanism exists for effectively challenging their conduct and application of the law, in court or elsewhere. Even if one did exist, it would have to be independent of Communist Party control in order to be meaningful.

Plainly, genuine improvement of the criminal process must await fundamental structural reform of the Chinese political-legal system. How far away such basic change may be is beyond my crystal ball. Yet ferment over law reform is definitely stirring in official as well as academic circles in China. This has been manifested not only by occasional encouraging, if modest, constitutional amendments but also – more importantly – by increasing attempts to implement existing constitutional provisions, either through the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress or the courts. Under the PRC system, the Standing Committee is available in principle for constitutional decisions and interpretations, but its aid is only beginning to be invoked by courageous scholars and lawyers. The courts, of course, are supposedly not available for constitutional decision-making; yet, prodded by imaginative legal activists who are bringing a broad range of lawsuits before them, the courts are, inevitably, edging closer to a constitutional role.

All of this makes contemporary Chinese law a heady brew, and Professor Chen's new edition serves it up in pleasingly palatable fashion. I can't wait for the next edition!

Professor Jerome Alan Cohen 10 February 2004 New York University School of Law

## **Foreword to the First Edition**

As the year 2000 approaches, international attention will focus increasingly upon China. The demise of communism in the former Soviet Union and in Eastern Europe has left the People's Republic of China the unchallenged leader of the remaining socialist countries and the principal challenger to the efforts of the major capitalist states to forge political and economic consensus in the world community. Yet, in order to speed China's modernisation, the PRC is also embarked upon an unprecedented degree of co-operation with both the developed and the developing countries that is integrating China ever more closely into global, regional and bilateral organisations and arrangements and endowing it with a prominence not previously enjoyed.

Virtually every aspect of China's expanding international relationships involves its domestic legal system and therefore requires foreign diplomats, government officials, politicians, business people, lawyers, scholars, journalists and others to become more knowledgeable about contemporary China's distinctive laws and legal institutions. The savagery of the 1989 Tiananmen massacre and the subsequent continuing use of the PRC judicial system as an instrument for suppressing 'counter-revolutionary' speech and action have heightened foreign interest in the administration of criminal justice and the sad condition of political and civil rights in China. At the same time, there is growing awareness, outside as well as inside China, that the PRC's impressive economic progress since 1978 has come to depend upon an infrastructure of laws, contracts and dispute resolution mechanisms that contributes to predictability and security of expectations.

Moreover, the important role that foreign investment and technology transfer have played in this progress – symbolised by some 43,000 foreign investment contracts alone, authorising the investment of roughly US\$50 billion – has been predicated on the assumption that the Chinese government is in the process of creating a credible legal environment. And the pressing need for the PRC to establish a comprehensive network of multilateral and bilateral intergovernmental agreements, relating not only to economic matters but also to military, social and cultural problems including human rights, is stimulating both an enormous amount of domestic legislative and administrative activity to buttress and implement these commitments and a substantial foreign desire to monitor the implementation.

Surprisingly, however, although the English language professional literature on specialised aspects of Chinese law – especially those pertaining to human rights, commercial law and international business co-operation – has begun to flourish, few books have been written — to introduce a broader audience to the PRC legal system itself. Thus — Mr Albert Chen's learned, yet readable, summary of its history, theory, organisation, institutions, norms and procedures, is most welcome.

This is a scholarly work, but one that avoids the temptation endlessly to recite rules and regulations instead of seeking to assess their significance. It also makes brief comparisons between the Chinese system and those of the West – and their respective

traditions – in order to highlight and explain the many unusual features of PRC justice. In addition, Mr Chen keeps a sharp eye on the gap between law and life, between theory and practice. This is an indispensable element in accurately portraying any system, but particularly one where the gap is often very great and so too is the government's effort to conceal it.

Finally, I should note that it is a personal pleasure for me to write this foreword. Mr Chen was one of the outstanding students during my many years of teaching at the Harvard Law School, where he demonstrated both the capacity for close legal analysis and the zest for comparative law and theory that this book reveals. From the lofty perch of Hong Kong University's Faculty of Law, he has since become a leading commentator – in Chinese as well as English publications – on the many legal issues associated with Hong Kong's impending return to China. Indeed, this book's appraisal of PRC legal institutions and values offers invaluable background for all who would assess the significance of the UK-PRC Joint Declaration on Hong Kong and the PRC Basic Law that implements it.

Professor Jerome Alan Cohen 28 April 1992 New York University School of Law